UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

Case No. 1:19-op-45294-DAP

This document relates to:

Judge Dan Aaron Polster

THE CITY OF PRESTONSBURG, KENTUCKY SHORT FORM FOR SUPPLEMENTING

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT AND AMENDING
DEFENDANTS AND JURY DEMAND

v.

PURDUE PHARMA, INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

Plaintiff submits this supplemental pleading and Amended Complaint incorporating as if fully set forth herein its own prior pleadings and, if indicated below, the common factual allegations identified and the RICO causes of action included in the Corrected Second Amended Complaint and Jury Demand in the case of *The County of Summit, Ohio, et al., v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.,* Case No. 1:18-op-45090 ("Summit County Pleadings"), *In Re National Prescription Opiate Litigation,* in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, Dkt #513, 514¹), and as may be amended in the future, and any additional claims asserted herein. Plaintiff also hereby amends its complaint to alter the defendants against which claims are asserted as

¹ Docket #513 is the redacted Summit Second Amended Complaint and Docket #514 is the unredacted Summit Corrected Second Amended Complaint filed under seal in Case No. 1:17-md-02804-DAP. The redacted Summit Corrected Second Amended Complaint is also filed in its individual docket, Case No. 1:18-op-45090-DAP, Docket #24.

identified below. To the extent defendants were previously sued in plaintiff(s)' existing complaint and they are no longer identified as defendants herein, they have been dismissed without prejudice except as limited by CMO-1, Section 6(e). Doc. #232.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE OF EXISTING COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff(s)' Existing Complaint (No. 1:19-op-45294-DAP, Doc. #:1) is expressly incorporated by reference to this Short Form as if fully set forth herein except to the extent that allegations regarding certain defendants that are not listed in section 2 below are dismissed without prejudice.

PARTIES – DEFENDANTS

2. Having reviewed the relevant ARCOS data, Plaintiff asserts claims against the following Defendants:

[List all Defendants against which claims are asserted. To the extent a claim is not asserted against a particular defendant, so indicate below. Otherwise each claim will be deemed to be asserted against all Defendants (except for the RICO claims identified below). If Defendants have not been sued previously in Plaintiff(s)' Existing Complaint, Plaintiff must include separate factual allegations below in support of each new defendant and must separately serve each newly named Defendant with notification of the specific ARCOS data that Plaintiffs claim supports the addition of this Defendant pursuant to the Court's Order Setting Procedure for Short Form Amendment of Complaints and Incorporation by Reference of Materials Under Seal]

SMITH DRUG COMPANY; MIAMI-LUKEN; RITE AID; WAL-MART; ANDA, INC.; RIVER CITY PHARMA; KEYSOURCE MEDICAL; TOP RX, INC.; SAJ DISTRIBUTORS; THE HARVARD DRUG GROUP; QUEST PHARMACEUTICALS INC.; CEDARDALE DISTRIBUTORS LLC, D.B.A. GEN-SOURCE RX; QUALITEST PHARMACEUTICALS; LAKE ERIE MEDICAL; AUBURN PHARMACEUTICAL; PHARMEDIUM SERVICES, LLC; MOORE MEDIAL, LLC; RICHIE PHARMACAL; DIXON-SHANE LLC; D & K HEALTHCARE RESOURCES; VINDEX PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; BRYANT RANCH PREPACK; PAR PHARMACEUTICALS; R & S SALES LLC; HENRY SCHEIN INC.; TAYLOR CREEK PHARMACAL, INC.; ATLEY PHARMACEUTICALS; AKORN, INC.; PHARMALINK; PSS WORLD MEDICAL INC.; BOUND TREE MEDICAL; DIVERSIFIED HEALTH CARE; GIL PHARMACEUTICAL CORP; EMERGENCY MEDICAL PRODUCTS; HOSPIRA WORLDWIDE LLC; SOUTHEASTERN EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT; DISPENSING SOLUTIONS; WRASER PHARMACEUTICALS; SOUTHERN ANESTHESIA & SURGICAL, INC.; BLUE RIDGE MEDICAL INC; SPECGX LLC; MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; SANDOZ INC; AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC; KVK-TECH, INC.; HOSPIRA, INC.; ALVOGEN, INC.; UCB, INC.; FOREST LABORATORIES, INC.; RHODES PHARMACEUTICALS; SUN PHARMACEUTICALS; ETHEX

CORPORATION; PARKVIEW PHARMACY INC.; RITE AID OF KENTUCKY, INC.; WAL-MART PHARMACY; WAL-MART PHARMACY 10-0696; FOOD CITY PHARMACY #471; FOOD CITY PHARMACY; CME IV; MEDICAL CENTER PHARMACY; RX DISCOUNT PHARMACY; RX DISCOUNT PHARMACY #15; MCDOWELL ARH PHARMACY; SUPER DOLLAR DISCOUNT PHARMACY; \$2457;

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION; CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.;
MCKESSON CORPORATION; PURDUE PHARMA L.P.; PURDUE PHARMA, INC.; THE
PURDUE FREDERICK COMPANY, INC.; TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES,
LTD.; TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.; CEPHALON, INC.; JOHNSON &
JOHNSON; JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; ORTHO-MCNEIL-JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. N/K/A JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; JANSSEN
PHARMACEUTICA INC. N/K/A JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; ENDO HEALTH
SOLUTIONS INC.; ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.; ALLERGAN PLC F/K/A ACTAVIS
PLC; WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. N/K/A ACTAVIS, INC.; NORAMCO, INC.;
WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.; ACTAVIS LLC; ACTAVIS PHARMA, INC. F/K/A
WATSON PHARMA, INC.; MALLINCKRODT LLC; MALLINCKRODT, PLC; and INSYS
THERAPEUTICS, INC.

I, Emily Ward Roark, Counsel for Plaintiff(s), certify that in identifying all Defendants, I have followed the procedure approved by the Court and reviewed the ARCOS data that I understand to be relevant to Plaintiff(s).

I further certify that, except as set forth below, each of the Defendant(s) newly added herein appears in the ARCOS data I reviewed.

I understand that for each newly added Defendant not appearing in the ARCOS data I must set forth below factual allegations sufficient to state a claim against any such newly named Defendant that does not appear in the ARCOS data.

The following newly added Defendant(s) do not appear in the ARCOS data I reviewed:

N/A. All defendants named above are either (1) newly added defendants that did appear in ARCOS data or (2) previously named defendants.

Dated: September 18, 2019 Signed: /s/ Emily Ward Roark

Factual Allegations Regarding Individual Defendants

- 2.1 N/A. All defendants named above are either (1) newly added defendants that did appear in ARCOS data or (2) previously named defendants.
- 2.2 N/A. All defendants named above are either (1) newly added defendants that did appear in ARCOS data or (2) previously named defendants.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3. By checking the boxes in this section, Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference to this document the common factual allegations set forth in the *Summit County* Pleadings as identified in the Court's Order implementing the Short Form procedure. Dkt. # 513, 514, & 1282.
 - ☑ Common Factual Allegations (Paragraphs 130 through 670 and 746 through 813)

 - ⊠ RICO Supply Chain Enterprise Common Factual Allegations (Paragraphs 849-877)
- 4. If additional claims are alleged below that were not pled in Plaintiff's Existing Complaint (other than the RICO claims asserted herein), the facts supporting those allegations must be pleaded here. Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional facts to support the claim(s) identified in Paragraph 6 below (below or attached):

| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

CLAIMS

- 5. The following federal **RICO causes of action** asserted in the *Summit County* Pleadings as identified in the Court's implementing order and any subsequent amendments, Dkt # 513, 514, & 1282, are incorporated in this Short Form by reference, in addition to the causes of action already asserted in the Plaintiff(s)'s Existing Complaint (check all that apply):
 - ⊠ First Claim for Relief Violation of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 *et seq.* Opioid Marketing Enterprise (Against Defendants Purdue, Cephalon, Janssen, Endo and Mallinckrodt (the "RICO Marketing Defendants")) (*Summit County* Pleadings, Paragraphs 878-905)
 - ⊠ Second Claim for Relief Violation of RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 *et seq.* Opioid Supply Chain Enterprise (Against Defendants Purdue, Cephalon, Endo, Mallinckrodt, Actavis, McKesson, Cardinal, and AmerisourceBergen (the "RICO Supply Chain Defendants")) (*Summit County* Pleadings, Paragraphs 906-938)
 - 6. Plaintiff asserts the following **additional claims** as indicated (below or attached):

| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| • | | | |
| | | | |

Case: 1:19-op-45294-DAP Doc #: 2 Filed: 09/18/19 5 of 5. PageID #: 142

| 7. | To the | extent | Plair | ntiff(s |) w | ish(es) | to | dismiss | cla | ims | prev | viously | asso | erted | ir |
|----------------|----------|--------|-------|---------|-----|---------|------|---------|-----|------|------|---------|------|-------|----|
| Plaintiff(s)'s | Existing | Compl | aint, | they | are | identi | fied | below | and | will | be | dismis | sed | witho | u |
| prejudice. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

N/A. Plaintiff does not wish to dismiss any claims previously asserted in the existing Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) prays for relief as set forth in the *Summit County* Pleadings in *In Re National Prescription Opiate Litigation* in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, MDL No. 2804 and in Plaintiff's Existing Complaint as has been amended herein.

Dated: September 18, 2019

/s/ Emily Ward Roark

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)